

ORGANIZER(S)

LOGO(S)

Evaluation of the Workshop Program and Instructor by the Attendees

Instructor's Name: Date:

Program:

Section A - Instructor:

Please use the following rating scale:

- 5 = excellent, outstanding performance, very appropriate
- 4 = well done, appropriate, good
- 3 = adequate, satisfactory, OK
- 2 = minimally acceptable, superficially done, borderline
- 1 = inadequate, not well done, poor

| The instructor... | Rating |
|---|--------|
| 1. Provided objectives and guidelines at the beginning of the presentation so that I knew what I was expected to learn: | |
| 2. Presented the content in coherent, understandable fashion: | |
| 3. Provided an adequate amount of detail, was neither superficial nor excessively detailed: | |
| 4. Demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the subject: | |
| 5. Stimulated my interest in the subject: | |
| 6. Used well-developed audiovisuals that complemented the presentation: | |
| 7. Provided handouts that helped to highlight the important concepts: | |
| 8. Presented the content that was appropriate to my level of knowledge: | |
| 9. Used an effective presentation style and mannerisms that did not distract my attention: | |
| 10. Invited and stimulated audience participation: | |



Section B – Program

1. This program (please check all that apply)...

- Met the stated objectives.
- Will alter my performance in my practice.
- Will not alter, but showed that I am doing the right thing.
- Will be relevant to my practice.
- Made me wish I did not attend it.
- Satisfied my expectations.

| | Excellent | Very Good | Good | Fair | Poor |
|---|------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 2. The facilities for presentations were | | | | | |
| 3. The illustrative material (including the presentation if applicable) | | | | | |

Other comments:

.....

.....

.....

Suggestions for future activities (and whether you would recommend this program to colleagues):

.....

.....

.....